US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

THINTEO STATES OF THE PROTECTION OF THE PROTECTI

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF PREVENTION, PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

signed: August 26, 2010

signed: August 26, 2010

MEMORANDUM

SUBJECT: Aspergillus flavus AF36 use on corn.

TO: Shanaz Bacchus, M.S., Regulatory Action Leader

Microbial Pesticides Branch, Biopesticides and

Pollution Prevention Division (7511P)

FROM: Joel V. Gagliardi, Ph.D., Microbial Ecologist

Microbial Pesticides Branch, Biopesticides and

Pollution Prevention Division (7511P)

THROUGH: John L. Kough, Ph.D., Senior Scientist

Microbial Pesticides Branch, Biopesticides and

Pollution Prevention Division (7511P)

ACTION REQUESTED:

Review of efficacy data from 2008 EUP field testing of AF36 in Texas to support a section 3 label amendment for use on field corn.

CONCLUSION:

SUPPLEMENTAL – additional data from 2009 and 2010 Texas and Arizona field testing under the EUP that expires January 4, 2011 is necessary to evaluate this request.

DATA REVIEW RECORD

Active Ingredient: Aspergillus flavus AF36.

Product Name: AF36.

Company Name: Arizona Cotton Research and Protection Council.

EPA Reg. Nos.: 71693-EUP-2.

 Chemical Number:
 006456.

 Decision Number:
 424829.

 DP Barcode:
 380006.

 MRID Nos.:
 479351-01.

BACKGROUND:

Aspergillus flavus AF36 is currently registered for use on cotton.

REVIEW SUMMARY:

Study Type: Product Performance Test Guidelines (OPPTS 810.1000); General Considerations for

Public Health Uses of Antimicrobial Agents (OPPTS 810.2000 - Draft).

MRID Nos.: 479351-01.

Test Material: Aspergillus flavus AF36.

Study Summary: The EUP label indicates AF36 applications should be made prior to or during silking from late May through June. An amendment to the December 17, 2007 EUP issued May 27, 2009 extends the EUP until January 4, 2011 allowing use on 3,000 acres per year in Arizona and 5,000 acres per year in Texas. Data from 2008 use in Texas is included in the current submission - an application to amend the section 3 registration to include use on corn in addition to cotton. Some testing in Grayson County was carried out independently by grain elevator operators and contains different end-points than data submitted by cooperators. Grayson County data is from V7-10 treated corn, the only data from corn treated per label instructions. Cooperator data from Grayson County contains only means without identification to other field data from a total of 300 acres, while data from grain elevators varies on what details are reported with most of that data submitted containing aflatoxin measurements from untreated fields without determination of AF36 presence on the few treated fields. Data reported from Ellis, San Patricio, Wharton, Jackson, Victoria, Hidalgo and Medina Counties in Texas all included %AF36 and ppb aflatoxin on individual samples. None of these cooperators reported total treated and untreated acreage, corn cultivars used, distances between fields, yields or harvest dates. In all Counties other than Grayson application of AF36 was made later than label instructions directed, in some cases too late to have any meaningful effect on aflatoxin levels. Cyclopiazonic acid levels and %AF36 were reported from 36 treated and 25 control samples. One fifth of AF36 treated samples had measurable cyclopiazonic acid levels compared to none detected in control samples. Units for measured cyclopiazonic acid, and the corn stage where AF36 was applied, were not provided.

Classification: SUPPLEMENTAL – data from 2009 and 2010 from both Texas and Arizona under the EUP should be submitted and include the following; corn planting dates, AF36 treatment dates, stage of corn at treatment, conditions at and shortly after treatment (i.e. irrigation or rain events), acres represented by each sample, number of samples per plot, type(s) of corn planted, distance(s) between plots, harvest dates, %AF36 with aflatoxin and cyclopiazonic acid levels for each sample. Additional discussion should include whether nearby areas to treated and untreated plots have been treated with atoxigenic Aspergillus flavus AF36 or Aspergillus flavus NRRL 21882 (AflaGuard) previously for any testing or commercial purposes.

*** CONTAINS FIFRA CONFIDENTIAL BUSINESS INFORMATION *** DATA EVALUATION RECORD EPA Review by: Joel V. Gagliardi, Ph.D. EPA Secondary Review by: John L. Kough, Ph.D.

EPA Secondary Review by: John L. Kough, Ph.D. Study Type	EPA Review by: J	oel V. Gagliardi, Ph.D.
Uses of Antimicrobial Agents (OPPTS 810.2000 - Draft). MRID No. 479351-01. Test Material Aspergillus flavus AF36. Study Nos. PR # 0378 B. Sponsor Arizona Cotton Research and Protection Council; 3721 E. Wier Avenue; Phoenix, Arizona 85040. Testing Facility Arizona Cotton Research and Protection Council; 3721 E. Wier Avenue; Phoenix, Arizona 85040. Titles of Reports Product Performance Data: Efficacy of AF36 in Corn. Authors Peter J. Cotty, Phillip J. Wakelyn, Michael Braverman, Larry Antilla. December 7, 2009. The EUP label indicates AF36 applications should be made prior to or during silking from late May through June. An amendment to the December 17, 2007 EUP issued May 27, 2009 extends the EUP until January 4, 2011 allowing use on 3,000 acres per year in Arizona and 5,000 acres per year in Texas. Data from 2008 use in Texas is included in the current submission – an application to amend the section 3 registration to include use on corn in addition to cotton. Some testing in Grayson County was carried out independently by grain elevator operators and contains different end-points than data submitted by cooperators. Grayson County data is from V7-10 treated corn, the only data from corn treated per label instructions. Cooperator data from Grayson County contains only means without identification to other field data from a total of 300 acres, while data from grain elevators varies on what details are reported with most of that data submitted containing aflatoxin measurements from untreated fields without determination of AF36 presence on the few treated fields. Data reported from Ellis, San Patricio, Wharton, Jackson, Victoria, Hidalgo and Medina Counties in Texas all included %AF36 and ppb aflatoxin on individual samples. None of these cooperators reported total treated and untreated acreage, corn cultivars used, distances between fields, yields or harvest dates. In all Counties other than Grayson application of AF36 was applied instructions directed, in some cases too late to have any meaningful effec	EPA Secondary Rev	
MRID No. 479351-01. Test Material Aspergillus flavus AF36. Sponsor Arizona Cotton Research and Protection Council; 3721 E. Wier Avenue; Phoenix, Arizona 85040. Testing Facility Arizona Cotton Research and Protection Council; 3721 E. Wier Avenue; Phoenix, Arizona 85040. Titles of Reports Arizona Cotton Research and Protection Council; 3721 E. Wier Avenue; Phoenix, Arizona 85040. Titles of Reports Product Performance Data: Efficacy of AF36 in Corn. Peter J. Cotty, Phillip J. Wakelyn, Michael Braverman, Larry Antilla. December 7, 2009. The EUP label indicates AF36 applications should be made prior to or during silking from late May through June. An amendment to the December 17, 2007 EUP issued May 27, 2009 extends the EUP until January 4, 2011 allowing use on 3,000 acres per year in Arizona and 5,000 acres per year in Texas. Data from 2008 use in Texas is included in the current submission - an application to amend the section 3 registration to include use on corn in addition to cotton. Some testing in Grayson County was carried out independently by grain elevator operators and contains different end-points than data submitted by cooperators. Grayson County data is from V7-10 treated corn, the only data from corn treated per label instructions. Cooperator data from Grayson County contains only means without identification to other field data from a total of 300 acres, while data from grain elevators varies on what details are reported with most of that data submitted containing aflatoxin measurements from untreated fields without determination of AF36 presence on the few treated fields. Data reported from Ellis, San Patricio, Wharton, Jackson, Victoria, Hidalgo and Medina Counties in Texas all included %AF36 and ppb aflatoxin on individual samples. None of these cooperators reported total treated and untreated acreage, corn cultivars used, distances between fields, yields or harvest dates. In all Counties other than Grayson application of AF36 was made later than label instructions directed, in some cases	Study Type	Product Performance Test Guidelines (OPPTS 810.1000); General Considerations for Public Health
Test Material Aspergillus flavus AF36.		Uses of Antimicrobial Agents (OPPTS 810.2000 - Draft).
Study Nos. PR # 0378 B.	MRID No.	479351-01.
Sponsor Arizona Cotton Research and Protection Council; 3721 E. Wier Avenue; Phoenix, Arizona 85040. Testing Facility Arizona Cotton Research and Protection Council; 3721 E. Wier Avenue; Phoenix, Arizona 85040. Tritles of Reports Product Performance Data: Efficacy of AF36 in Corn. Authors Peter J. Cotty, Phillip J. Wakelyn, Michael Braverman, Larry Antilla. Studies Completed December 7, 2009. The EUP label indicates AF36 applications should be made prior to or during silking from late May through June. An amendment to the December 17, 2007 EUP issued May 27, 2009 extends the EUP until January 4, 2011 allowing use on 3,000 acres per year in Arizona and 5,000 acres per year in Texas. Data from 2008 use in Texas is included in the current submission - an application to amend the section 3 registration to include use on corn in addition to cotton. Some testing in Grayson County was carried out independently by grain elevators operators and contains different end-points than data submitted by cooperators. Grayson County data is from V7-10 treated corn, the only data from corn treated per label instructions. Cooperator data from Grayson County contains only means without identification to other field data from a total of 300 acres, while data from grain elevators varies on what details are reported with most of that data submitted containing aflatoxin measurements from untreated fields without determination of AF36 presence on the few treated fields. Data reported from Ellis, San Patricio, Wharton, Jackson, Victoria, Hidalgo and Medina Counties in Texas all included %AF36 and ppb aflatoxin on individual samples. None of these cooperators reported total treated and untreated acreage, corn cultivars used, distances between fields, yields or harvest dates. In all Counties other than Grayson application of AF36 was amade later than label instructions directed, in some cases too late to have any meaningful effect on aflatoxin levels. Cyclopiazonic acid levels and %AF36 where reported from 36 treated	Test Material	Aspergillus flavus AF36.
Testing Facility Titles of Reports Arizona Cotton Research and Protection Council; 3721 E. Wier Avenue; Phoenix, Arizona 85040. Product Performance Data: Efficacy of AF36 in Corn. Authors Peter J. Cotty, Phillip J. Wakelyn, Michael Braverman, Larry Antilla. Studies Completed December 7, 2009. Study Summary The EUP label indicates AF36 applications should be made prior to or during silking from late May through June. An amendment to the December 17, 2007 EUP issued May 27, 2009 extends the EUP until January 4, 2011 allowing use on 3,000 acres per year in Arizona and 5,000 acres per year in Texas. Data from 2008 use in Texas is included in the current submission - an application to amend the section 3 registration to include use on corn in addition to cotton. Some testing in Grayson County was carried out independently by grain elevator operators and contains different end-points than data submitted by cooperators. Grayson County data is from V7-10 treated corn, the only data from corn treated per label instructions. Cooperator data from Grayson County contains only means without identification to other field data from a total of 300 acres, while data from grain elevators varies on what details are reported with most of that data submitted containing aflatoxin measurements from untreated fields without determination of AF36 presence on the few treated fields. Data reported from Ellis, San Patricio, Wharton, Jackson, Victoria, Hidalgo and Medina Counties in Texas all included %AF36 and ppb aflatoxin on individual samples. None of these cooperators reported total treated and untreated acreage, corn cultivars used, distances between fields, yields or harvest dates. In all Counties other than Grayson application of AF36 was made later than label instructions directed, in some cases too late to have any meaningful effect on aflatoxin levels. Cyclopiazonic acid levels and %AF36 were reported from 36 treated and 25 control samples. One fifth of AF36 treated samples had measurable cyclopiazonic acid, and the corn stage w	Study Nos.	PR # 0378 B.
Titles of Reports Product Performance Data: Efficacy of AF36 in Corn. Authors Peter J. Cotty, Phillip J. Wakelyn, Michael Braverman, Larry Antilla. Studies Completed December 7, 2009. The EUP label indicates AF36 applications should be made prior to or during silking from late May through June. An amendment to the December 17, 2007 EUP issued May 27, 2009 extends the EUP until January 4, 2011 allowing use on 3,000 acres per year in Arizona and 5,000 acres per year in Texas. Data from 2008 use in Texas is included in the current submission - an application to amend the section 3 registration to include use on corn in addition to cotton. Some testing in Grayson County was carried out independently by grain elevator operators and contains different end-points than data submitted by cooperators. Grayson County data is from V7-10 treated corn, the only data from corn treated per label instructions. Cooperator data from Grayson County contains only means without identification to other field data from a total of 300 acres, while data from grain elevators varies on what details are reported with most of that data submitted containing aflatoxin measurements from untreated fields without determination of AF36 presence on the few treated fields. Data reported from Ellis, San Patricio, Wharton, Jackson, Victoria, Hidalgo and Medina Counties in Texas all included %AF36 and ppb aflatoxin on individual samples. None of these cooperators reported total treated and untreated acreage, corn cultivars used, distances between fields, yields or harvest dates. In all Counties other than Grayson application of AF36 was made later than label instructions directed, in some cases too late to have any meaningful effect on aflatoxin levels. Cyclopiazonic acid levels and %AF36 were reported from 36 treated and 25 control samples. One fifth of AF36 treated samples had measurable cyclopiazonic acid, and the corn stage where AF36 was applied, were not provided. SUPPLEMENTAL – data from 2009 and 2010 from both Texas and Arizona under th	Sponsor	Arizona Cotton Research and Protection Council; 3721 E. Wier Avenue; Phoenix, Arizona 85040.
Studies Completed December 7, 2009.	Testing Facility	Arizona Cotton Research and Protection Council; 3721 E. Wier Avenue; Phoenix, Arizona 85040.
Studies Completed December 7, 2009. The EUP label indicates AF36 applications should be made prior to or during silking from late May through June. An amendment to the December 17, 2007 EUP issued May 27, 2009 extends the EUP until January 4, 2011 allowing use on 3,000 acres per year in Arizona and 5,000 acres per year in Texas. Data from 2008 use in Texas is included in the current submission - an application to amend the section 3 registration to include use on corn in addition to cotton. Some testing in Grayson County was carried out independently by grain elevator operators and contains different end-points than data submitted by cooperators. Grayson County data is from V7-10 treated corn, the only data from corn treated per label instructions. Cooperator data from Grayson County contains only means without identification to other field data from a total of 300 acres, while data from grain elevators varies on what details are reported with most of that data submitted containing aflatoxin measurements from untreated fields without determination of AF36 presence on the few treated fields. Data reported from Ellis, San Patricio, Wharton, Jackson, Victoria, Hidalgo and Medina Counties in Texas all included %AF36 and ppb aflatoxin on individual samples. None of these cooperators reported total treated and untreated acreage, corn cultivars used, distances between fields, yields or harvest dates. In all Counties other than Grayson application of AF36 was made later than label instructions directed, in some cases too late to have any meaningful effect on aflatoxin levels. Cyclopiazonic acid levels and %AF36 were reported from 36 treated and 25 control samples. One fifth of AF36 treated samples had measurable cyclopiazonic acid, and the corn stage where AF36 was applied, were not provided. Classification SUPPLEMENTAL – data from 2009 and 2010 from both Texas and Arizona under the EUP should be submitted and include the following; corn planting dates, AF36 treatment dates, stage of corn at treatment, conditions at	Titles of Reports	Product Performance Data: Efficacy of AF36 in Corn.
The EUP label indicates AF36 applications should be made prior to or during silking from late May through June. An amendment to the December 17, 2007 EUP issued May 27, 2009 extends the EUP until January 4, 2011 allowing use on 3,000 acres per year in Arizona and 5,000 acres per year in Texas. Data from 2008 use in Texas is included in the current submission - an application to amend the section 3 registration to include use on corn in addition to cotton. Some testing in Grayson County was carried out independently by grain elevator operators and contains different end-points than data submitted by cooperators. Grayson County data is from V7-10 treated corn, the only data from corn treated per label instructions. Cooperator data from Grayson County contains only means without identification to other field data from a total of 300 acres, while data from grain elevators varies on what details are reported with most of that data submitted containing aflatoxin measurements from untreated fields without determination of AF36 presence on the few treated fields. Data reported from Ellis, San Patricio, Wharton, Jackson, Victoria, Hidalgo and Medina Counties in Texas all included %AF36 and ppb aflatoxin on individual samples. None of these cooperators reported total treated and untreated acreage, corn cultivars used, distances between fields, yields or harvest dates. In all Counties other than Grayson application of AF36 was made later than label instructions directed, in some cases too late to have any meaningful effect on aflatoxin levels. Cyclopiazonic acid levels and %AF36 were reported from 36 treated and 25 control samples. One fifth of AF36 treated samples had measurable cyclopiazonic acid, and the corn stage where AF36 was applied, were not provided. Classification SUPPLEMENTAL – data from 2009 and 2010 from both Texas and Arizona under the EUP should be submitted and include the following; corn planting dates, AF36 treatment dates, stage of corn at treatment, conditions at and shortly after treatment (i.e. ir	Authors	Peter J. Cotty, Phillip J. Wakelyn, Michael Braverman, Larry Antilla.
through June. An amendment to the December 17, 2007 EUP issued May 27, 2009 extends the EUP until January 4, 2011 allowing use on 3,000 acres per year in Arizona and 5,000 acres per year in Texas. Data from 2008 use in Texas is included in the current submission - an application to amend the section 3 registration to include use on corn in addition to cotton. Some testing in Grayson County was carried out independently by grain elevator operators and contains different end-points than data submitted by cooperators. Grayson County data is from V7-10 treated corn, the only data from corn treated per label instructions. Cooperator data from Grayson County contains only means without identification to other field data from a total of 300 acres, while data from grain elevators varies on what details are reported with most of that data submitted containing aflatoxin measurements from untreated fields without determination of AF36 presence on the few treated fields. Data reported from Ellis, San Patricio, Wharton, Jackson, Victoria, Hidalgo and Medina Counties in Texas all included %AF36 and ppb aflatoxin on individual samples. None of these cooperators reported total treated and untreated acreage, corn cultivars used, distances between fields, yields or harvest dates. In all Counties other than Grayson application of AF36 was made later than label instructions directed, in some cases too late to have any meaningful effect on aflatoxin levels. Cyclopiazonic acid levels and %AF36 were reported from 36 treated and 25 control samples. One fifth of AF36 treated samples had measurable cyclopiazonic acid, and the corn stage where AF36 was applied, were not provided. Classification Classification Classification at treatment, conditions at and shortly after treatment (i.e. irrigation or rain events), acres represented by each sample, number of samples per plot, type(s) of corn planted, distance(s) between plots, harvest dates, %AF36 with aflatoxin and cyclopiazonic acid levels for each sample. Additional discussion should	Studies Completed	December 7, 2009.
should be submitted and include the following; corn planting dates, AF36 treatment dates, stage of corn at treatment, conditions at and shortly after treatment (i.e. irrigation or rain events), acres represented by each sample, number of samples per plot, type(s) of corn planted, distance(s) between plots, harvest dates, %AF36 with aflatoxin and cyclopiazonic acid levels for each sample. Additional discussion should include whether nearby areas to treated and untreated plots have been treated with atoxigenic Aspergillus flavus AF36 or Aspergillus flavus NRRL 21882 (AflaGuard) previously for any testing or commercial purposes.		through June. An amendment to the December 17, 2007 EUP issued May 27, 2009 extends the EUP until January 4, 2011 allowing use on 3,000 acres per year in Arizona and 5,000 acres per year in Texas. Data from 2008 use in Texas is included in the current submission - an application to amend the section 3 registration to include use on corn in addition to cotton. Some testing in Grayson County was carried out independently by grain elevator operators and contains different end-points than data submitted by cooperators. Grayson County data is from V7-10 treated corn, the only data from corn treated per label instructions. Cooperator data from Grayson County contains only means without identification to other field data from a total of 300 acres, while data from grain elevators varies on what details are reported with most of that data submitted containing aflatoxin measurements from untreated fields without determination of AF36 presence on the few treated fields. Data reported from Ellis, San Patricio, Wharton, Jackson, Victoria, Hidalgo and Medina Counties in Texas all included %AF36 and ppb aflatoxin on individual samples. None of these cooperators reported total treated and untreated acreage, corn cultivars used, distances between fields, yields or harvest dates. In all Counties other than Grayson application of AF36 was made later than label instructions directed, in some cases too late to have any meaningful effect on aflatoxin levels. Cyclopiazonic acid levels and %AF36 were reported from 36 treated and 25 control samples. One fifth of AF36 treated samples had measurable cyclopiazonic acid, and the corn stage where AF36 was applied, were not provided.
	Classification	should be submitted and include the following; corn planting dates, AF36 treatment dates, stage of corn at treatment, conditions at and shortly after treatment (i.e. irrigation or rain events), acres represented by each sample, number of samples per plot, type(s) of corn planted, distance(s) between plots, harvest dates, %AF36 with aflatoxin and cyclopiazonic acid levels for each sample. Additional discussion should include whether nearby areas to treated and untreated plots have been treated with atoxigenic Aspergillus flavus AF36 or Aspergillus flavus NRRL 21882 (AflaGuard)
	Good Laboratory	Not GLP Compliant – conducted using accepted scientific and/or commercial practices hence the

A. Efficacy Data for AF36 applied to corn:

Practice

study information is considered valid.

The EUP label approved March 27, 2009 states "Apply Aspergillus flavus AF36 to the surface of the

soil under the plant canopy after last cultivation. Applications should be made prior to or during silking." Also "On corn, Aspergillus flavus AF36 has been shown to be effective when applied from late May through June." The specific claim to be evaluated is on the label, namely "Aspergillus flavus AF36 - For displacing aflatoxin producing fungi." An amendment to the December 17, 2007 EUP issued May 27, 2009 extends the EUP until January 4, 2011 allowing use on 3,000 acres per year in Arizona and 5,000 acres per year in Texas. Data from 2008 is included in the current submission. The registrant was specifically directed to include levels of aflatoxin and cyclopiazonic acid in treated and untreated corn.

Table 1: AF36® Efficacy studies with corn - Aflatoxin - 2008:

Farmer		Distance ¹	County	Stage	Yield ²	Harvest	% AF36	Aflatoxin ³
			0 lbs / a	cre - Contr	ol			
	50		GRAYSON,	V7-10	49			110
	21		TX		56			115
	73				122			140
	23				101			130
	65				116		35 1945	80
	132				111			180
	83				98			100
	101				93			16
	112				94			140
	105				100			87
	72	l l			92			160
	92				92			78
	37	North			92			170
	34				108			190
	78				92			61
	28				94			14
Howe Com.	162				80			25
	16				83			0
	225				89			40
Golden Cnr	53				86			41
	22				98			55
	55				92			67
	55				109			140
	22				119			113
	182				101			37
		South >2mi				9/11/08		160
	-224					9/11/08		3
						8/6/08		21
						9/8/08		560
						8/21/08		170
						9/5/08		1
						9/8/08		110
						9/19/08		110
						9/19/08		22

				9/11/08		110
				9/4/08		160
				9/15/08		100
				8/5/08		308
				8/6/08		330
				9/19/08		110
				9/5/08		140
				9/8/08		35
				8/13/08		35
200				8/13/08		170
				8/6/08		800
				8/7/08		780
				8/8/08		984
				8/21/08		73
				9/19/08		178
				8/13/08		160
				8/1/08		100
				8/5/08		52
				9/19/08		78
				8/13/08		78
				8/5/08		500
				9/5/08		110
				9/8/08		110
				9/19/08		52
				9/11/08		140
Sears				9/5/08		52
Sears				9/8/08		52
				9/11/08		160
				8/13/08		178
				9/11/08		24
				8/21/08		180
				9/11/08		3
		1		9/19/08		170
				8/5/08		74
				8/13/08		267
				9/5/08		140
				9/8/08		140
NTC-GC-SE				8/13/08		110
NTC-GC-SW				8/13/08		228
NTC-GC-EC				8/13/08		55
	North	ELLIS, TX	V12-T		7	216
		The second secon			18	66
	1				38	19
					7	131
					8	91
					13	184
					20	84

 $[*] Personal\ privacy\ information*$

958		4			0	68
961	South	1			14	89
5083	South				14	306
3063					0	142
					93	15
					93	21
		_			0	58
					0	139
-		_			0	25
Deven		0.137			0	33
Bayer		SAN	Post VT 1-2		13	55
Bayer		PATRICIO,	weeks		8	15
Bayer		TX	F. 60-3000		0	24
Bayer					0	8
					0	23
					0	20
	10.11(1)				0	15
			ľ		13	187
2757-11258 2758-10091 2757-11299 695-11920 02B 2160-11890 778-10477					33	23
2757-11258					93	0
2758-10091			Post VT 2-4 weeks		43	0
2757-11299					42	2
695-11920		LACKSON			24	2
02B		JACKSON /			0	440
2160-11890		WHARTON			0	48
778-10477		TX			0	17
//X-10/66					0	50
1903-11720					0	26
2197-11893					0	3
Dupont Dupont Dupont Dupont Dupont					0	13
Dupont					6.67	40
Dupont					13.33	20
Dupont					7.69	27
		7			20.00	0
Bayer		VICTORIA,			0.00	0
Bayer		TX	Post R1		0.00	17
Bayer			2		9.09	0
Bayer Bayer Bayer Bayer		-			15.38	0
_		-			7.69	21
		1			14.29	nd
		-				
99-BCR		HIDALGO,	Post VT >4		0.00	0
		TX	veeks		0	6
99-BCR M-14a		- 1A	weeks		7	36
		-			0	33
M-14b M-14c		4			60	32
M-14c		-			0	194
M-15a			6	Service -	91	7

M-15b							0	223
6+7 TJa		,		1			100	32
6+7 TJb							8	11
28a			_	<u> </u>			0	8
28b							8	13
34a							0	64
34b							7	29
34c							0	31
							57	0
							92	22
							92	0
			MEDINA				60	0
Airport		≤2 mi.	MEDINA, TX	Not reported			67	9
Airport	3		17				93	5
Airport							67	5
Airport							77	27
							0	35
			10 lbs	acre – AF36®				
	71	North			109			0
	79	NOITH			119			0
NTC-Test			GRAYSON,	V7-10		8/21/08		9
NTC-Test		0 41-	TX	V /-10		8/21/08		22
NTC-Test		South				8/21/08		0
NTC GC-EC						8/13/08		24
							80	60
							73	39
							87	0
							80	61
							87	25
		North					93	26
							92	0
							100	0
			The second second				87	69
			ELLIS, TX	V12-T			100	39
							43	0
860							100	8
864							93	27
865							100	58
933		South					100	14
953		- Company Control					82	64
958							100	2
961							83	27
			SAN	Post VT 1-2			100	25
			PATRICIO,	weeks			0	4
			TX				27	64
							73	54
			-	ı - L			0	14

	- company				88	22
Bayer					87	5
Bayer					100	5
Bayer					87	6
Bayer					93	15
					7	1
					100	0
					73	4
					0	6
					100	2
					100	0
1					100	0
10407					87	1
12076					53	47
11908					46	1
11284					45	1
11305					42	2
10099					38	0
01A					33	1
02A			Post VT 2-4 weeks		21	i
695-17A		JACKSON /			20	0
778-10645		WHARTON			13	3
778-10636		TX			8	0
778-10030		•			7	0
778-10638					7	0
2160-23070		0			7	12
778-10422	120000				7	3
1903-10443						
					0	<u>1</u>
2197-10626	- vannesesii ee				7	
778-10405			en en la		0	17
Dupont					50.00	7
Dupont					84.62	16
Dupont					100.00	46
Dupont					100.00	2
Bayer					60.00	34
Bayer		VICTORIA,	Post R1		71.43	34
Bayer		TX			100.00	6
Bayer					53.85	3
					21	0
					86	1
					73	6
					100	20
M-11a		HIDALGO,	Post VT >4	No.	54	93
M-11b		TX	weeks		58	38
9MCa					100	31
9MCb					77	96
9MCc					100	9

Personal privacy information

2+3 TJa			79	35
2+3 TJb			50	1
2+3 TJc			0	3
25a	1		100	0
25b			82	22
25c			100	5
47WBCa			0	63
47WBCb			0	45
47WBAc			0	76
			92	19
			80	20
			60	28
			86	7
			100	0
			100	0
			69	1
			100	0
			100	15
	 MEDINA,	Not reported	100	15
	TX	A MICHIGARD CONTRACTOR	100	30
			100	0
BBN Hwy 90			100	1
BBN Hwy 90			93	1
BBN Hwy 90			100	2
BBN Hwy 90			80	26
			100000	18
		1	100	10
			 93	21

¹ In some cases an approximate distance from control to treatment plot(s) was reported, though in most cases this data is absent; ² Field corn cultivar and in some cases yield and harvest dates are supplied, though not consistently; ³ Aflatoxin (ppb) by commercial ELISA, samples with readings over 80ppb are diluted and re-run. Samples in bold were treated according to EUP label instructions.

Table 2: FDA Action levels for poisonous or deleterious substances - AFLATOXIN					
Commodity	Action Level (ppb)				
Animal Feeds					
Corn and peanut products intended for finishing (i.e., feedlot) beef cattle	300				
Cottonseed meal intended for beef, cattle, swine, or poultry (regardless of age or breeding status)	300				
Corn and peanut products intended for finishing swine of 100 pounds or greater	200				
Corn and peanut products intended for breeding beef cattle, breeding swine, or mature poultry	100				
Corn, peanut products, and other animal feeds and feed ingredients but excluding cottonseed meal, intended for immature animals	20				

Corn, peanut products, cottonseed meal, and other animal feed ingredients intended for dairy animals, for animal species or uses not specified above, or when the intended use is not known	20
Brazil nuts	20
Foods	20
Milk	0.5 (aflatoxin M1)
Peanuts and Peanut products	20
Pistachio nuts	20

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

The data submitted was not all part of the intended EUP. Rather, most data from Grayson County was obtained independently by grain elevator operators who sampled mostly untreated corn arriving at their elevators. Data from North Grayson County included the cooperator name, FSA#, acres sampled, corn variety, yield in bushels/acre and ppb aflatoxin. North Grayson County had two treated fields and 25 untreated fields. In South Grayson County a harvest date and approximate distance of untreated fields from treated fields was also supplied, but not number of acres, corn variety or yield data. South Grayson County had four treated fields and 49 untreated with 3 untreated fields on the same farm as treated fields. None of these fields reported AF36 presence for any assay. A summary table on page 12 in MRID 479351-01 lists %AF36 from 17 treated samples in North and 16 treated samples in South Grayson County, compared to 8 control samples, though individual data is absent. Table 2 on page 12 of MRID 479351-01 states these samples are from corn treated at V7-10 on a total of 300 acres but there is no included comparison to location of treated and untreated acreage sampled by the grain elevators so the summary data from cooperators is inconclusive. Grayson County data does show a significant effect of AF36 colonization and aflatoxin reduction generally, though individual cooperators, fields, corn cultivars, or distribution of acreage among treated and non-treated plots for reported means from cooperators was not submitted.

Data reported from Ellis, San Patricio, Wharton, Jackson, Victoria, Hidalgo and Medina Counties in Texas all included %AF36 and ppb aflatoxin on individual samples. None of these reported treated and untreated acreage, corn cultivars, distance between fields, corn yields or harvest dates. In all instances outside Grayson County application of AF36 was later than label instructions directed, in some cases too late to have any meaningful effect on aflatoxin levels.

Cyclopiazonic acid levels and %AF36 were reported from 4-7 samples each in Ellis, Grayson, Hidalgo, San Patricio and Victoria Counties in Texas and compared to control samples. Cyclopiazonic acid was detected in 7 of 36 AF36 treated corn samples and in none of the 25 control samples. Units of measured cyclopiazonic acid was not reported and ranged from 0.8-1.4 for a mean of 0.3 across all AF36 treated samples. It was not reported at what corn stage AF36 was applied in these cases.